12 October 1976

5, pp. 411-420

3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE

DIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

A RECLASSIFICATION OF *IRIS* SPECIES BEARING ARILLATE SEEDS

BY JOHN J. TAYLOR University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59801

In his revision of the genus Iris L., Rodionenko (1961) placed in the subgenus Iris only those species with aggregations of multicellular hairs (beards) on the outer perianth segments. Beardless rhizomatous and nonrhizomatous species were placed in other subgenera, or were transferred to genera other than Iris. In his system, subgenus Iris consisted of section Hexapogon emended to include all species with arillate species. Hexapogon consisted of the subsections Regelia, Pseudoregelia and Oncocyclus. Regelia, however, had the same circumscription as subsection Hexapogon (Bunge) Bentham emend. Lawrence (1953), and Rodionenko's use of the name Regelia was superfluous (see also under section Hexapogon below).

Data published since the Rodionenko revision of the genus make even more obvious the heterogeneous nature of subsection *Hexapogon* (Bunge) Bentham *emend*. Lawrence and its synonym *Regelia* (Dykes) Rodionenko. The following new system for the arillate species in *Hexapogon* is proposed.

Iris subgenus Iris Section Iris (This type section of the subgenus lacks arillate species.) Section Hexapogon (Bunge) Baker Section Regelia Lynch Section Oncocyclus (Siemssen) Baker Section Psammiris (Spach) J. Taylor Section Pseudoregelia Dykes

35—Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., Vol. 89, 1976 (411)

412 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington

The essential features of this reclassification are (1) the segregation of species previously included in section *Hexapogon* (Bunge) Baker *emend*. Rodionenko (1961) into five distinct taxa, and (2) the designation of a type of the section *Regelia* Lynch. A compendium of literature relevant to section *Iris* is also included.

Iris subgenus Iris

Iris sect. Pogiris Tausch in Hort. Canalius, 1 (1823); idem in Schultes Additamentum Mantissum, 2:369 (1824), quoad typus.—Iris subgen. Euiris Alefeld in Bot. Zeit., 21:296 (1863), p.p.; non Boissier Fl. Orient., 5:118 (1884); non Klatt in Linnaea, 33:591–604 (1866).— Iris sect. Euiris Bentham in Bentham and Hooker, Gen. Pl., 3:687 (1882).—Iris sect. Pogiris Tausch emend. Lawrence in Gent. Herb., 8(4):353 (1953).—Iris sect. Iris emend. Lawrence in Gard. Irises, 142 (1959).

Type of the subgenus: I. germanica L.

Species composing subgenus *Iris* are characterized by distinctly rhizomatous stems, and flowers with beards of multicellular hairs on the outer, and occasionally the inner, perianth segments.

KEY TO THE SECTIONS OF SUBGENUS IRIS

1.	Seed without an aril; capsule usually dehiscent very near apex, but
	if dehiscent considerably below apex, then some internal placen-
	tation ruptured at maturity Section Iris
1.	Seed with conspicuous creamy-white aril; capsule dehiscent sub-
	apically or laterally; mature placentation intact 2
2.	Both inner and outer perianth segments with conspicuous more or
	less linear beards 3
	3. Spathe valves (bracts) 3 or 4; rhizome usually compact, slowly creeping; seed with small aril; chromosome number of counted
	species: $n \equiv 9$
	3. Spathe valves 2; rhizome stoloniferous, readily spreading; seed
	with large aril; chromosome number of counted species $n =$
-	11 Section Regelia
2.	Only outer perianth segments bearded, or if all segments bearded,
	then beards on outer segments scattered
4.	Beard on outer segments scattered; spathe 1-flowered; seed with
	large aril Section Oncocyclus
4.	Beard on outer segments linear; spathe usually 2-flowered; seed
	with aril much smaller than seed
	5. Rhizome creeping or spreading by stolons; flowers essentially monochromic though sometimes lightly veined
	Section Psammiris

Iris section Iris

Iris subgen. Pogiris Tausch, l.c.; idem in Schultes, l.c.—Iris subgen. Pogoniris Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 3, 5:103 (1846); idem in Hist. Nat. Veg., 13:48–68 (1846), p.p.; Klatt in Bot. Zeit., 30:515 (1872), p.p.; Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Lon. Bot., 16:143 (1877), p.p.; Randolph in Bull. Amer. Iris Soc., 109:4 (1948), p.p.—Iris subgen. Euiris Alefeld in Bot. Zeit., 21:296 (1863), p.p.; non Boissier, l.c.; non Klatt, l.c.—Iris subsect. Pogoniris (Spach) Bentham in Bentham and Hooker, l.c.; Pax in Engler and Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam., 2(5):145 (1888), p.p.; Lawrence, l.c.—Iris sect. Pogoniris Baker, l.c., p.p.— Iris subgen. Eupogoniris Randolph, l.c.

Type of the section: I. germanica L.

In his reclassification of *Iris*, Lawrence (1953, 1959) divided subsection *Pogoniris* into the series *Pumilae* and *Elatae*. To the former were assigned those species which are less than 3 dm tall, and either acaulescent or if caulescent, then not branched. Series *Elatae* comprised the taller species which are distinctly caulescent and branched. Although he cited these taxa, Rodionenko did not include them in his system.

Recent collections of bearded irises have included forms which could justify emending series *Pumilae* to include the low-growing species which are nevertheless caulescent and branched, e.g., *I. furcata* MB, *I. timofejewii* Woron., and perhaps others. Anyone systematically treating these non-arillate species should include such emendation if the taxon is retained.

Because of the numerous morphological, karyological, genetic and distributional differences between the arillate and the non-arillate species, Rodionenko (1961) removed arillate species from section *Iris*, based on *I. germanica* L., and gave them sectional rank collectively in *Hexapogon*. His circumscription of section *Iris* is retained here.

Iris section *Hexapogon* (Bunge) Baker in Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 5:787–788 (1876); Boissier, Fl. Orient., 5:119 (1884).

Iris subgen. Hexapogon Bunge ex Alefeld in Bot. Zeit., 21:296 (1863), nom. illegit.; Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Lon. Bot., 16:147 (1877), nom. illegit.—Iris subsect. Hexapogon (Bunge) Bentham in Bentham and Hooker, Gen. Pl., 3:687 (1882); Pax in Engler and Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam., 2(5):145 (1888).

Type of the section: I. falcifolia Bunge.

Species included within the section:

I. longiscapa Ledebour 1853

I. falcifolia Bunge 1847

414 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington

The name Hexapogon, first used by Bunge (1847) in describing the new species I. falcifolia and I. filifolia Bunge (an invalid synonym of I. longiscapa Ledeb.), was taken up by Alefeld (1863) for a subgenus circumscribing the two Bunge species and I. susiana L. Because I. susiana was the type for Spach's earlier subgenus Susiana (1846), Alefeld's use of Hexapagon was illegitimate (Article 63, International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN), 1969). Baker (1876) transferred I. susiana to section Oncocyclus and first validly published Hexapogon as a section comprising I. falcifolia and I. filifolia Bunge only. (The following year (1877) he raised Hexapogon to the rank of subgenus, but his use of the name at that rank was illegitimate (Article 64, ICBN).)

In 1892, Baker transferred the *Hexapogon* species to subgenus *Pogoniris*, and introduced the name *Regelia* for a subgenus containing three species described by Eduard Regel. Although it is apparent that Baker intended to segregate *Regelia* from *Hexapogon* and *Pogoniris*, he did not adequately characterize *Regelia*, and it remained a *nomen nudum* until validly published as a section by Lynch in 1904. A type was not designated.

Dykes considered *I. falcifolia* and *I. filifolia* Bunge to be synonymous, and transferred them (1913) from *Pogoniris* to section *Regelia*. Because one or the other of these *Hexapogon* species must be considered the type for the earlier section *Hexapogon* (Bunge) Baker, Dykes' use of the name *Regelia* was illegitimate (Article 63, ICBN). Rodionenko's use of *Regelia* for a subsection (1961) was illegitimate for the same reason. Lawrence did not acknowledge section *Regelia* Lynch, and combined *Regelia* Dykes, including *Hexapogon*, with *Psammiris* species in subsection *Hexapogon* (1953, 1959).

I. falcifolia and I. longiscapa are xeritic species of the Turkmenian and Uzbekian deserts in southcentral U.S.S.R., and of a few similar but restricted localities in Iran and Afghanistan. They are characterized by weakly or non-stoloniferous rhizomes, very narrow leaves, thin and leafless stems, and spathes of 3 or 4 bracts enclosing 2 to 5 small flowers with all perianth segments bearded. Iris longiscapa has been examined karyologically (Randolph and Mitra, 1961), and is the only bearded iris species yet counted with the diploid chromosome number 18.

Iris section Regelia Lynch, Bk. Iris, 56 (1904); Fedtschenko in Komarov, Fl. USSR, 4:539 (1935); nec Dykes, Gen. Iris, 123 (1913), p.p., nom. illegit.

Iris subgen. Regelia M. Foster ex Baker, Handbk. Irideae, 1 (1892), p.p., nom. nudum.—Iris subgen. Pogoniris Randolph in Bull. Amer. Iris Soc., 109:4 (1948), p.p. affin. sect. Regelia Lynch; non Spach (1846), l.c.; non Baker (1876), l.c.—Iris subsect. Regelia (Dykes) Rodionenko, Gen. Iris L., 198–199 (1961), p.p., nom. illegit. Type of the section: I. korolkowii Regel.

Species included within the sectiton:

- I. afghanica Wendelbo 1972
- I. darwasica Regel 1884
- I. heweri Grey-Wilson & Mathew 1974
- I. hoogiana Dykes 1916
- I. korolkowii Regel 1873
- I. kuschkensis Grey-Wilson & Mathew, ? edit.
- I. lineata M. Foster 1887
- I. stolonifera Maximowicz 1880

To my present knowledge, a type of section *Regelia* as circumscribed by Lynch has not previously been designated. After a study of that author's protologue, and in an attempt to preserve both the originally intended and the current usage of *Regelia* (Article 7 B, ICBN), I have selected and here designated *I. korolkowii* Regel as the type of this section.

The Regelia species are essentially montane species characterized by more or less stoloniferous rhizomes bearing unbranched scapes each with a 2-bracted spathe containing 2 (rarely 3) flowers with all perianth segments bearded. They differ from all other arillate sections except Oncocyclus, with which they show the greatest affinity, in general distribution, plant habit, spathe and/or floral morphology, and, except for chromosome number in Psammiris and Pseudoregelia, in karyotype. Intersectional hybrids between Regelia and the remaining arillate sections (except Oncocyclus) have been difficult or impossible to obtain. The rare hybrids produced have been sterile.

In contrast, there is marked fertility in intersectional diploid hybrids between *Regelia* and *Oncocyclus*, and, excepting the large metacentric chromosome of *Regelia* species, a striking similarity of haploid karyotypes in the two sections. The taxa are differentiated, however, by the weakly or non-stoloniferous rhizomes, 1-flowered spathes, and widely scattered beards on the outer perianth segments of *Oncocyclus* species.

Iris section Oncocyclus (Siemssen) Baker in Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 5:788 (1876).

Oncocyclus Siemssen in Bot. Zeit., 4:706-707 (1846).—Iris subgen. Susiana Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 3, 5:110 (1846); idem in Hist. Nat. Veg., 13:70-71 (1846).—Iris subgen. Oncocyclus (Siemssen) Alefeld in Bot. Zeit., 21:296 (1863); Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Lon. Bot., 16:142 (1877).—Iris subsect. Oncocyclus (Siemssen) Bentham (1882), l.c.; Pax (1888), l.c.—Iris subgen. Pogoniris Randolph in Bull. Amer. Iris Soc., 109:4 (1948), p.p. affin. gen. Oncocyclus Siemssen; non Spach (1846), l.c.; non Baker (1876), l.c.

Type of the section: I. paradoxa Steven.

Species included within the section:

I. acutiloba C. A. Meyer 1831

- I. antilibanotica Dinsmore 1933
- I. atrofusca Baker 1894
- I. atropurpurea Baker 1889
- I. auranitica Dinsmore 1933
- I. barnumiae M. Foster & Baker 1888
- I. biggeri Dinsmore 1933
- I. bismarckiana (Dammann) Regel 1890
- I. bostrensis Mouterde 1954
- I. camillae Grossheim 1950
- I. calcaria Dinsmore inedit.
- I. cedretii Dinsmore ex Chaudhary 1972
- I. damascena Mouterde 1967
- I. demawendica Bornmueller 1902
- I. ewbankiana M. Foster 1901
- I. gatesii M. Foster 1890
- I. grossheimii Woronov 1928, ? hyb. nat.
- I. hauranensis Dinsmore 1933
- I. haynei (Baker) Mallet 1904
- I. heylandiana Boissier & Reuter 1877
- I. hermona Dinsmore 1933
- I. iberica Hoffmann 1808
- I. kirkwoodii Chaudhary 1972
- I. lineolata (Trautvetter) Grossheim 1950
- I. lortetii Barbey 1881
- I. lupina M. Foster 1887
- I. lycotis Woronov 1915
- I. maculata Baker 1876
- I. manissadjanii Freyn 1896
- I. meda Stapf 1885
- I. nigricans Dinsmore 1933
- I. paradoxa Steven 1844
- I. petrana Dinsmore 1933
- I. polakii Stapf 1885, p.p.
- I. sari Schott 1876
- I. schelkownikowii Fomin 1907, ? hyb. nat.
- I. schischkinii Grossheim 1950
- I. sofarana M. Foster 1899
- I. sprengeri Siehe 1904
- I. susiana Linnaeus 1753
- I. urmiensis Hoog 1900
- I. yebrudii Dinsmore ex Chaudhary 1972

Of the arillate irises, the section *Oncocyclus* is the most refractory to systematic treatment. The situation has resulted from the numerous intraspecific phenotypic variations among populations and collections of apparently valid species, the frequency of natural hybridization among sympatric species and the use of specific epithets for hybrids and their nothomorphs, and variations in interpretation and use of differentiating criteria among taxonomists. It is possible, therefore, that the list immediately above lacks the names of valid *Oncocyclus* species, and includes names which may be synonymous with others. It should be considered a tentative listing in lieu of more thorough examinations of putative species and hybrids, and of systematic analyses based on characteristics perhaps somewhat more instructive of this section than gross morphology and habitat alone.

The Oncocyclus species are found in dry desert and montane habitats from the eastern Mediterranean coastal region east and northeast into Iran, Afghanistan and Turkmenian U.S.S.R. They are characterized by weakly or non-stoloniferous rhizomes, more or less falcate radical leaves, and a scape bearing a 2-bracted spathe containing a single flower. Both inner and outer perianth segments vary remarkably in outline among the species, from the much reduced sepals of *I. paradoxa*, the type for the section, to the narrow and acutely pointed segments of the *I. meda-I. acutiloba* complex and the wide rounded perianth of *I. susiana* and similar cultivated species. All, however, possess a beard of multicellular hairs scattered widely and often densely along the haft and onto the blade of the sepal.

Iris section Psammiris (Spach) Taylor comb. nov.

Iris subgen. Psammiris Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 3, 5:110 (1846); idem in Hist. Nat. Veg., 8:69-70 (1846).

Type of the section: I. humilis Georgi.

Species included within the section:

- I. bloudowii Bunge ex Ledebour 1833
- I. humilis Georgi 1775
- ? I. mandschurica Maximowicz 1880
- I. potaninii Maximowicz 1880

Because there has been some reluctance to reject *I. flavissima* Pallas as a later synonym for *I. humilis* Georgi, a brief nomenclatural history of this species is included here.

Messerschmidt found a low-growing yellow iris near the Transbaikalian town of Ulan-Ude. Its description ("Iris humilis angustifolia. . . .") was first published by Ammann (1739) after number 133, page 101.

Gmelin (1747) described after his number 31 "iris foliis ensiformibus, caule bifloro. Tab. V. Fig. 2" and included as a synonym "Iris humilis angustifolia.... Mess. Amm. (Stirp. rar.) Ruth. post 133." Gmelin stated that his number 31 (as *Ammanni*) had also been observed by Messerschmidt in the hills near Ulan-Ude. It is obvious that Gmelin considered his number 31 to be the same as Messerschmidt-Ammann number 133.

418 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington

Georgi (1775) again observed the iris in the southern Baikal region, and published the following description of it under the name *I. humilis*: "Iris humilis, angustifolia, lutea. Messerm. Amman. (Stirp. rar.) p. 101. Radix flavo alba fibrosa. Caulis 3. ad 6. pollicum, saepius biflorus, foliis gramineis, duplo longioribus." It is apparent that Georgi considered his *I. humilis* to be the same as Messerschmidt-Ammann number 133 (the only "iris humilis angustifolia" on page 101), and his diagnosis is compatible with Gmelin's figure 2, plate V.

In 1776, Pallas published the name *I. flavissima*, and included as a synonym: "Iris foliis ensiformibus. . . . Gmel. Flor. Sibr. I. p. 31. tab. V. fig. 2. cum synon. Ammanni." Thus *I. flavissima* is the same as Gmelin number 31 and therefore Messerschmidt-Ammann number 133, and *I. humilis* Georgi, also conspecific with the latter, is the first validly published name for this species.

Spach (1846) first published *Psammiris* as a monospecific subgenus based on *I. arenaria* Waldst. & Kit., a later synonym of *I. humilis* Georgi. Baker (1877) later combined psammirises with *Pogoniris*, where they remained until Lawrence (1953) transferred them to subsection *Hexapogon*. Although most forms of *I. humilis* have more or less stoloniferous rhizomes and radical leaves which are tinged with anthocyanin pigments at vernal emergence (characteristics sometimes assumed to show affinity with common garden forms of some *Regelia* species), the psammirises differ from other arillate species in karyotype, general distribution range, plant habit and/or floral and rhizome morphology.

The psammiris karyotype (Simonet, 1934; Gustafsson and Wendelbo, 1975) is quite distinct among arillate irises, unlike even those of *Regelia* and *Pseudoregelia* species with similar chromosome numbers (Randolph and Mitra, 1961; Zakhariyeva and Makushenko, 1969). The few intersectional hybrids produced experimentally between *Psammiris* and other arillate species are sterile.

Psammirises are found in open meadows and on hillsides from southcentral Europe east into transcaucasian U.S.S.R., and on exposed mountain slopes and in grasslands and shaded, dry mountain valleys from the western Altai region north and east into Mongolia, Manchuria and transbaikalian U.S.S.R. They are the most widely distributed of the arillate species, and the only arillate irises native to central Europe.

Although there are some differences in flower stalk length and rhizome morphology among *Psammiris* species and geographical forms of species, all produce narrow radical leaves which frequently dry to leave fibrous vestiges near the rhizome, and thin scapes bearing 1 or 2 2-bracted spathes each with 1 or 2 short-lived flowers with more or less elongated perianth tubes. The withering flowers become characteristically helically twisted (Spach, 1846; Ugrinsky, 1922).

Iris section Pseudoregelia Dykes, Gen. Iris, 129 (1913).

Iris subgen. Pseudoevansia Baker, Hndbk. Irideae, 2 (1892), nom. nudum.-Iris sect. Pseudoevansia Lynch, Bk. Iris, 55 (1904), nom. nudum.—Iris subsect. Pseudoregelia (Dykes) Lawrence in Gent. Herb., 8(4):356 (1953); Rodionenko, Gen. Iris L., 199 (1961).

Type of the section: I. kamaonensis Wallich ex D. Don.

Species included within the section:

I. goniocarpa Baker 1876 I. hookerana M. Foster 1887 I. kamaonensis Wallich ex D. Don 1841 ?I. leptophylla Lingelsheim 1922 I. sikkimensis Dykes 1908, ? hyb. nat. I. tigridia Bunge ex Ledebour 1829

Baker (1892) created the subgenus *Pseudoevansia* to include several small central Asian species which he believed were related to the crested *Evansia* species. His differential diagnosis was based exclusively on the rudimentary crests terminating the beard of pseudoevansias, structures now known to have little taxonomic significance. Lynch (1904) retained *Pseudoevansia* as a section, but failed to describe the taxon further. The name remained a *nomen nudum*. Dykes (1913) examined *Pseudoevansia*, transferred some included species to other taxa, and fully characterized and published the name *Pseudoregelia* as a section circumscribing the remaining species. Both Lawrence (1953, 1959) and Rodionenko (1961) retained the name for a subsection with the same circumscription.

The pseudoregelias are essentially montane often alpine species found from the Indian Himalayas north and east into Nepal, Tibet, Mongolia and eastern Siberia, and west into the Altai region. They superficially resemble *Psammiris* species in dwarf plant habit and floral morphology, but differ significantly in rhizome morphology and in karyotype (Simonet, 1952). The known *Pseudoregelia* species exhibit no close affinities with other arillate irises. Fertile intersectional hybrids involving pseudoregelias have not been produced.

LITERATURE CITED

ALEFELD, F. G. C. 1863. Ueber die Gattung Iris L. Bot. Zeit. 21: 296–298.

- AMMANN, J. 1739. Stirpium rariorum in Imperio Rutheno sponte proventientium icones et descriptiones collectae ab Ioanne Ammano. Acad. Sci. St. Petersburg, 210 pp.
- BAKER, (E.) J. G. 1876. A synopsis of the known species of Iris. Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 5:787-788.
- ———. 1877. Systema Iridacearum. Journ. Linn. Soc. Lon. Bot. 16:142–147.
 - ——. 1892. Handbook of the Irideae. George Bell & Sons, London, 247 pp.

BUNGE, A. VON. 1847. Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Flor Russlands und

der Steppen Central-Asiens. Mem. Sav. Etr. Petersb. 7:177-536.

DYKES, W. R. 1913. The genus Iris. University Press, Cambridge, 245 pp.

GEORGI, J. G. 1775. Bemerkungen einer Reise in Russichen Reiche im Jahre 1772. 1:196.

- GMELIN, J. G. 1747. Flora Sibirica sive historia plantarum Sibiriae. 1:31.
- GUSTAFSSON, M., AND P. WENDELBO. 1975. Karotype analysis and taxonomic comments on irises from SW and C Asia. Bot. Notiser. 128:208–226.
- LAWRENCE, G. H. M. 1953. A reclassification of the genus Iris. Gent. Herb. 8(4):346–371.
- ———. 1959. Garden irises. The American Iris Society, St. Louis, 575 pp.
- LYNCH, R. I. 1904. The book of the iris. J. Lane, London and New York, 214 pp.
- PALLAS, P. S. 1776. Reise durch verschiedene Provinzen des russichen Reichs. 3:716.
- RANDOLPH, L. F., AND J. MITRA. 1961. Karyotypes of Iris species indigenous to the USSR. Amer. Journ. Bot. 48:862–870.
- RODIONENKO, G. 1961. The genus Iris L. Acad. Sci. USSR, Moscow, 215 pp. (in Russian).
- RECEL, E. 1873. Iris korolkowii Rgl. Gartenfl. Deutsch. Russ. und der Schweiz 8:225–226, Tab. 766.
- SIMONET, M. 1934. Nouvelles recherches cytologiques et genetique chez les Iris. Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. 10° serie 16:229–383.
- ———. 1952. Nouveaux denombrements chromosomiques chez les Iris. Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 235:1244–1246.
- SPACH, E. 1846. Revisio generis Iris. Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 3, 5:89– 111.
- UCRINSKY, K. A. 1922. Die gesamtart Iris flavissima Pall. Eine monographische studie. Fedde's Repert. Beiheft. 14:14.
- ZAKHARIYEVA, O. I., AND L. M. MAKUSHENKO. 1969. Chromosome numbers of monocotyledons belonging to the families Liliaceae, Iridaceae, Amaryllidaceae and Araceae. Bot. Zhur. 54: 1213–1227 (in Russian).